

Learn Natural Law With Questions!

Thoughts on current world? Happy with it?

Could it be better? How can it be?

What imbalances do you see? How do people react?

(More Questions)

Disclaimer: Some Questions May Sound Redundant Or Even Simple, But It's Important For A Grounding Basis & Reminding Ourselves The Basics

Common ground (more questions):

Teaching (Importance Of Education, Like This)

What puts someone in a good position to learn? Should someone accept everything? Should someone be skeptical of everything? So Is there a balance, is this diagram (teachability bell curve) an accurate depiction? *(Or) So we shouldn't be too open minded and we shouldn't also be too close minded?*

What source of information is reliable? Where should our knowledge come from and what should it be of? (Nature)

What takes control of peoples mind? In other words, what limits human thought and confines it to singular ways of thinking? Is it good to do that? Is there such thing as good and bad knowledge? (What helps people think)

Requirement

What's the importance in using knowledge that we learn? Are there requirements for what we desire in the world? What requirements do you think would achieve what you desire?

Knowledge

What if someone doesn't have the requirements? Is that requirement some level of knowledge, perhaps first knowing the requirements & then knowing how to go about in fulfilling those requirements? Is there knowledge that doesn't help people? What if someone doesn't know the problems with their current knowledge, is their knowledge incomplete? Is it good to know the essentials? Is there an advantage of having knowledge that others don't have? Can that knowledge be of the essentials? Why would someone hide important knowledge from another person? Is knowledge, power? (Pyramid System) So what if something universal & all around us, is not being recognized, and therefore not being understood with knowledge? What if the essential knowledge is hidden from us by those who do know this essential knowledge? Can power be maintained through this? Let's picture that light atop the pyramid is for having knowledge and dark below is for not having knowledge, so what if people, those in the dark, soon had that knowledge, what would happen to the pyramid system? Is this enlightenment, having knowledge?

What is ignorance? What if the knowledge isn't present in the first place? (Nescience)

Why is questioning important? Why is the question "why" important?

Problem Solving

What are the steps in how we solve a problem, based on our previous knowledge? Would it be bad if I ignored the problem? Would it be bad if I treated the problem, but not the root cause of the problem?

(Or) What makes problem solving difficult?

Recognize Without Fear-Based Denial → Diagnose The Cause → Action Based On Knowledge

Truth

When we recognize a problem or succeed in treating the problem, do we find the truth to a situation?

What is truth? (Definition) What makes our perception different, based on our previous knowledge?

How can it align and should it align? *(Or) Should we want to know more of the truth in order to act in alignment with it?* How does this relate to ignorance and the teachability bell curve? Do we ever filter what we want to see and do not want to see? Does our perception get in the way of truth? Does this relate to man trying to be nature instead of work with nature? (Definition)

Solipsism

What if someone thinks they can ONLY find truth in knowing themselves, and not elsewhere? *(Or)*

What if someone thinks that they only can know themselves and nothing of the world can actually be known?

Do we really have to be unsure of how the world works? Does the whole world revolve around any one person? What if someone thinks that there is nothing that matters but their own self?

(Introduce Definition) Does this limit them (solipsists) from understanding the truth? Why? *(Or)*

Because they refuse to have knowledge of the workings of the world? Why is it important to know what occurs in nature or the world? (It CAN be known) How do we know? (Having Teachability, Knowing Requirements, Using Problem Solving, Recognizing Truth)

Definition

Does it help to define concepts like Solipsism? What does it mean to define something? What is the goal with seeking definition? How does it generally help us? Is it alike our simplification or

understanding of knowledge? Do words help represent ideas or concepts? (Grasping onto objects,

images or sounds) Is this alike aligning our perception with the truth? So By Exploring All The Many Ideas We Have Talked About, Our Understanding Of Natural Law May Become More Defined & Thorough Because We Have Defined Other Terms Within? Should we define Natural Law?

Natural Law

What does the word natural mean? Does it relate to having to do with truth? What about the word law?

Think of some laws you may know from science, what are they? (Inertia, Momentum,

Thermodynamics; conditions) Can they be changed? So they are laws of nature? What then makes

“Natural Law” different? (Show “Working Definition”) Keep in mind that definition as we move on in connecting more dots.

Belief

Does belief matter in the face of truth? Is our knowledge or understanding more important than our belief? Can belief be wrong truthfully? Can belief be bad? How can it? Can you believe whatever you want as long as it's not imposed on others?

Consciousness

Based on the ideas of learning, how do we learn the knowledge and become aware of the requirements? Is there a natural ability to perceive truth and be aware? Recognizing patterns, connecting dots within our environment around us, what concept is this that living beings share? (Show Definition & Diagram Representative Of The 3 Expressions Of Consciousness; Body-Masculine-Action, Spirit-Feminine-Emotion, Thoughts-Mind)

Brain

What makes the human brain different from other species in regards to the expressions of consciousness? Do you know the main parts that make up the inside of the human brain? (Show Diagram Of Brain) How about the two brain hemispheres? What do you know about left brain vs right brain behavior? *(Or) Is there a difference between analytical thinking (action) and intuitive compassion or holistic thinking (emotion)? (Or) Masculine vs feminine?* How does the expressions of consciousness interact or relate? (Recite) Let's Picture Left Brain With Masculine & Right Brain With Feminine. Perhaps we need a balance of the expressions or brain hemispheres, representative for the neocortex; what good will that do for us to do? How do we create that balance? Do we identify weaknesses or extremes or tendencies? Should we acknowledge & address our ignorance or nescience? Do we utilize problem solving?

Let's also see if Natural Law can maybe help us with this as we move on...

Mental Schism

What do you think happens when we think too analytically but don't really use our own intuition? What brain hemisphere imbalance is this (which side)? Let's see this as left brain masculine imbalance. What do you think happens when we use our own intuition but don't think analytically about what's going on? What brain hemisphere imbalance is this (which side)? Let's see this as right brain feminine imbalance. How does this all relate to the teachability bell curve? What happens when we get stuck in our imbalance, does it affect our teachability and how we acquire knowledge? (Show Diagram)

Worldview Schism

How do you think the left brain masculine analytical side views religion? Morality? Existence? Is this side more likely to dictate or follow? How do you think the right brain feminine intuitive side views religion? Morality? Existence? Action? Is this side more likely to dictate or follow? Which side is more likely to seek spiritual laws, like that of the working definition of natural law? If that right brain feminine intuitive side is determining something to follow, what would the left brain masculine analytical side do? If that left brain masculine analytical side is determining to lead, what is it following in nature? (Nothing, it's own doctrines, randomness?) So think, what man has and what nature has, what can each brain side contribute in order to find the truth? So would you say left brain is randomness, man's free-will, whereas right brain is deterministic, nature's law, natural law? Is this like our perception aligning with the truth, but in this case, our perception consists of our free will aligning with our understanding of natural law in order to find the truth? Where does solipsism (the idea only one's own mind is sure to exist, nothing can be known but self) fit into all this? (Imbalance on both sides) So what happens when we create the balance between the left and right brain hemispheres? (if you have to, first think of the differences)

Human Nature

Are we by our human nature, innately, good or evil? Does it depend? What does it depend on? (Operating Conditions, Environments) Do those conditions, condition us? Therefore, should we consider the conditions we live in, if it helps makes our human condition, our human nature? So we are programmable like computers? What (conditioning-variables) exactly will influence our condition? Culture? Beliefs? Behavior (what we choose to do), therefore our Life? So going based on our desired world, if life could be better, perhaps we should consider our conditions and make them better? How can we make those conditions better? (Quality, Recite Source Of Information: Nature?)

How Reality Is Built

Based on everything we have learned thus far, starting with learning knowledge, how do we help create the world around us? When do we make decisions in our life and what is it based on? What are our actions based on? *(Or) What are our actions dependent on? What is the world around us that we live in based on? (Or) Is the manifested world around us is based on our own actions?*

Available Information (Knowledge) → Decision-Making (Understanding) → Human Behavior (Wisdom) → Manifested Reality (Result; Order Or Chaos)

Essentially, do we choose what world we want to live in, by our actions (behavior)?

(Optional Skip For The Sake Of The Heart Of Natural Law – Morality) Principles

What are principles? What does it mean when someone says "I live by principles"? (Show Definition)
There exists principles through which natural law expresses, all around us always going on.

Mentalism

So thoughts essentially help become our actions and actions become our reality? Does that mean everything we create is created with the mind? (Define)

Correspondence

Let's observe another phenomenon in nature. When a tree is above a lake, can you see the tree reflect into the water? Does it look like the tree is upside down when viewing from another angle? When you observe patterns in nature (ex. Mandelbrot set), do we notice self-similarity from above, as below (ex. Cell structures)? (Or) Is there reflections from the actions in most individuals to then making up the rest of society? (Define; Microcosmic & Macro-Cosmic)

Vibration

Let's observe another phenomenon in nature. Does everything move in some fashion? Does everything vibrate? Is energy everywhere? (Define)

Polarity

Let's observe another phenomenon in nature. What is the pattern between yin & yang, Sun & moon, Female & Male? Is there duality or polarity everywhere? (Define)

Rhythm

Let's observe another phenomenon in nature. Does everything flow (out & in)? Does everything rise and fall? (ex. Pendulum swing) (Define)

Gender

Does everything have masculine and feminine aspects? Relating to the Brain, what would be Mental-Gender? (Define)

Cause & Effect (Important Principle Of Relevance To Natural Law Expression)

Cause & Effect, it is a law of nature, correct? Let's correlate natural law to cause & effect, being that it is a principle of natural law, OK? Everything happens according to law? Can it take time for the effect to occur from the cause? If every cause has an effect, then what is what some call "chance"? (Law not recognized) If it is always happening everywhere, regardless of belief, can anything escape the law?

Plane Of Effects

Is it good to focus on what has already occurred, the past? Do we have the power to change anything if we are focused on the past? Can anything un-occur if it has already occurred? Do we stay focused on the symptoms, the effects and become ignorant to look at the underlying causes? Do we learn from the past, the effects for the importance in learning the causes? (Define)

Plane Of Causality

What if we instead focus on the present, what we can do now; should we seek to understand the causes, rather than the effects? What question are we asking when we seek the cause? Will we then become conscious of why things happen to us or why the world is the way that it is? If we seek collective change, would you rather we be in the plane of causality or the plane of effects? In which plane does our consciousness get trapped, and in which plane can our consciousness create change? Why is this? *(Or) Is it because we have power when we have knowledge, especially of the requirements?* (Define) Based on how reality is built, which plane is focused on the physical world or manifested reality and which plane is focused on the mental world, the why? Do we see how everything is connected?

Care

Lets wrap up the principles with a core concept. What makes the difference for you to listen to these questions? *(Or) What drives you in wanting to learn?* What is our driving force to do things in the first place? (Care) So ultimately, what we put our care into manifests? (Generative) We require care to create, and choose what to put our care into? So we require care to create, especially based upon the knowledge, we cared to learn? Does it matter what we say that we want, if we do not care to create it through our actions?

Spiritual Currency

So it's an investment, care to create? What does it also require, in order to create something? (ex. painting, writing, any type of work)? (Time &/or Attention) Spending time and paying attention? So the more time & attention we put into things, the more we get in return? What could we get in return? (knowledge, understanding, skills, expertise, empowerment) How can we connect this with knowledge? *(Or) Should we spend time & pay attention utilizing quality knowledge?* What is not good quality knowledge sources? (Opposite of nature; television, books, research?) Upon this conversation, what do you get in return from this?

Connecting To Natural Law

Let's then learn more about Natural Law, as it is. It can also be referred to as the following, if you understand the following terms. What is the law of cause and effect? What is the law of attraction? What would be moral law or karmic law? What is the golden rule? Keep these in mind as we move on, it is very important in knowing Natural Law!

Natural Law Expressions

There exists positive and negative expressions that tie into those terms. What is positive & what is negative? Keep positive and negative at mind for the following questions.

What is positive, that we use to create? What is negative, that we use to create?

What is positive, how natural law expression starts when accepted? Love, to-?

What is negative, how natural law expression starts when refused? Fear, to-?

What is positive, that happens inside us from love & knowledge?

What does it mean to be Sovereign? (Above Control; Not A Slave; Monarch)

How does this relate to the expressions of consciousness? (Actions, Emotions & Thoughts)

So is self-mastery & self-control, self-ownership?

What is negative, that happens inside us from fear & ignorance?

What is confusion? Is expressions of consciousness then in conflict with one another?

What is positive that then happens in society from love, knowledge & sovereignty?

What is negative that then happens in society from fear, ignorance & confusion? (Give hints if need be)

What is positive that then manifests as a result of all those positive expressions in society?

How do you think the world would be different if it had more of those positive expressions?

Social? Culture? Creativity?

What is negative that then manifests as a result of all those negative expressions in society?

What do you think the world will become following this path?

Social? Culture? Creativity?

Recap, What Is Chaos? (Made Up Of All The Negative; Imbalance, Refusal Of Truth)

Recap, What Is Order? (Made Up Of All The Positive; Balance, Acceptance Of Truth) (Show Graph)

Morality

The distinction between positive and negative, is like what is? (Right and Wrong)

Does right versus left politics matter when it comes to right versus wrong? (Looking At Behavior Itself)

Morality is the heart of natural law. What is the opposite of morality? Perhaps then there is an opposite to natural law? (Man's law)

If morality is key to natural law, what does it mean to be right? (correct, truth, harmony)

What is an example of a moral action? (Or) *What wouldn't be immoral?*

How does this relate to violence? Is it all a matter of harm?

What is an example of an immoral action? (Or) *What wouldn't be moral?*

Morality is objective in nature to this degree of harm. So what do we do with this knowledge knowing how knowledge manifests? (Seek to create less harm anywhere & everywhere we can?)

When we speak of what not to do, what does that help us do? (Thus: Know What To Do)

This Is Considered The Apophatic Inquiry, Or The Process Of Apophasis (Define)

Rights

Does something that is right objectively, have any exceptions?

What if someone claims it does? (Belief, Lack Of Knowledge)

(Hinted Before) What are our human natural rights? Does it have anything to do with what is right?

(Recap) So we have the “right” to do something that isn’t wrong or harmful?

So something is NOT a right if they cause harm? So by knowing right & wrong, we know our rights?

Transgressions

Let’s list more immoral actions (harmful). (Show basic list)

What are all these immoral actions having in common? (Theft)

Theft of? (Rights, Property)

What is our property (what we own)? (Life, Rights, Freedom) (Show Slide)

What if property isn’t affected? (No Crime)

So if there is “no victim, there is no crime”, because what does NOT cause harm is a right.

So could anyone grant or revoke rights to someone?

So a wrong is a wrong & a right is a right?

So it is wrong to give rights to others that don’t exist because they already exist under natural law?

So does our beliefs matter? We can only believe that a right is a wrong?

So folks actually believe they are above the law, and that is moral to create rights?

(Knowing Rights Scenario- A world with only two people, applies to any size population)

So let’s think of examples and I want you to think of the repercussions... Hence law, attraction etc.

Taxation?

Prohibition (comply against substances like alcohol)?

Licenses & Permits?

What are some man-made laws that you know of?

Force & Violence

Do we have the right to defend ourselves with the application of force?

Do we have the right to attack someone with the application of violence?

What was the difference between the first and second question? (Force vs Violence) (Show Graph)

So we can defend ourselves with force against those who seek to do violence against us?

So we have the right to prevent immoral (wrong) actions from being done onto us?

Does this change at all when government is doing it to us?

Does it become a right when government commits immoral action onto us?

Are we letting wrong-doing continue in our world? A large theft on our ownership?

Two Pillars Of Enlightenment

Now to connect these ideas with our previous knowledge of the brain...

What do we know about the right brain? How would the right brain interact with violence in a good way? (Peace) How about a bad way? (letting violence happen)

So perhaps the right brain is what is the non-aggression principle (do not engage in violence)?

So in natural law, there are two pillars of enlightenment, let's say this is the right pillar.

What would the left pillar be? (left brain) What do we know about the left brain?

How would the left brain interact with violence in a good way? (Peace) How about in a bad way? (creating violence instead of just defending from it)

So perhaps the left brain is what is the self-defense principle?

With these two pillars of enlightenment in Natural Law, what are they?

What can this help us do? (Reduce harm anywhere & everywhere we can)

Ownership

Going back to ownership now, as we are to know what to defend, what does ownership mean?

(Rightful possession, control of usage, responsibility)

Being that all immoral actions are forms of theft, all humans have to do to abide to natural law is do not steal? Let's see this as the single law that natural law all comes down to.

Conscience

Some may understand this through common sense. What is common sense?

Perhaps we (all share) collectively understand common truths? (To Know Together)

Perhaps it is knowing what is right and wrong?

How do we exercise common sense, or knowing right and wrong? (Choosing actions with free will)

What is free-will? What do we need in order to have free-will (ability to choose)? (Freedom)

Freedom

Perhaps when we are more free, we are able to make our own decisions and learn from our own mistakes?

In natural law, there is something that can be called "the law of freedom" (define)

If one understands truth & morality, what would be the opposite? (Disharmony; Tyranny & Slavery) (Define bottom) In the world of confusion, negative expression as previously talked about, do you think morality will be as understood?

With this law at mind, and knowing about how natural law helps us understand our behavior and it's effects on the world, what if society did not understand objective morality and just decided for themselves what is right and wrong? (Disregarding Natural Law; Define Moral Relativism)

Natural Law vs Man's Law

Perhaps us deciding what morality is, is akin to us deciding what the law is, since morality is the heart of natural law? Perhaps we can then call it man's law, since we disregard and overrule natural law?

Let's then think for a second... what is Man's Law? What would make it different from Natural Law?

Based on our knowledge of violence versus force, what does Man's Law utilize?

Based on our understanding of moral relativism, is Man's Law universal? Eternal (Timeless)?

Well if we have right and wrong, and everything set up in place for order, as by positive expression, why do we need Man's Law? (If-then statement)

How can man's law be relevant and necessary if all it's laws are opinions or of moral relativism, and if it's not, it's already existent in natural law, so how is it relevant and necessary?

Equality

How do you think equality is viewed in natural law vs man's law, knowing how natural law is universal and timeless and focused on morality? (true equality for natural law)

By equality, would it also be the knowledge kept away, who gets to rule and who must obey? (Define)

Being that natural law is already existent, everyone is equal by nature. Perhaps it is man who changes this and puts beliefs into the minds of many that they need certain "rights" under Man's Law that don't actually exist under natural law? (think permits, permissions; think money and religions) (quote)

Government

Who determines Man's Law? (Government) So let's observe what government is, let's break down the word. What does "govern" mean? What does "ment" usually mean in English? (Mind Control)

(Define why it is like this & the principle of Mentalism)

Define Jurisdiction. ("to say what the law is") So based on our understandings, let's think what is "authority" in a nature? (God is natural authority, Those We Call Authority Are Just Ordinary People Like Us) Are folks in government any different from you and I?

What do you think keeps people in this system of government, both the rulers and those who obey? (Belief Based In Illusion)

Do folks believe they have the moral right to rule and others believe they have the moral obligation to obey? But does truth care about your feelings, your beliefs? Just because you believe it, does that mean it's true? As established previously, a pyramid system falls when those above no longer rule, but what does the upper pyramid rely on? (the bottom) So the masters depend on those who obey?

Is this the structure of every government, essentially? Same morals apply, same truths with Man's Law.

What if folks don't want to obey? (Threatened by violence) What is slavery?

So in summary, the government is a structure of masters and slaves?

And the belief in authority by the slaves, creates the legitimacy in authority despite it's falsity?

Through jurisdiction, does man seek to say what the law is? Overrule Natural Law? Become Nature?

To further understand how this slavery is permitted (allowed), let's explore these beliefs folks have...

Religion

Let's think about religion, how does it affect someone's teachability bell curve or learning?

Are they only being exposed to certain information or knowledge? Is there any ignorance?

When folks say certain diets or cults are like religions, what do they mean?

Perhaps this would align with one definition of religion here (Define)

One True Divide

Based on our knowledge, perhaps then not only can we make a distinction between what is natural law and man's law, but we can make a distinction between those who know of natural law & those who believe in man's law; those who are stuck in a religion that constricts the mind versus those who are observing the nature of why all things exist the way that they do. Would you say this is accurate? Let's call this the one true divide, an individual who believes in authority versus an individual who doesn't. Let's observe these individuals all as humans, with simply differing perspectives.

Now let's see how we can connect all our previous points to determine these two sides.

We are going to see the side that believes in Authority as "Statists" or the order-followers we

mentioned before. (Define) Does this make sense? Now of course the opposite, would not be a Statist.

Some call these folks "Anarchists" however, for the sake of keeping true to nature, we are just going to call them free humans who know the dangers of Statism as by the Natural Law we have established.

For the sake of it, however, being that we may get titled as such and should rather deny the title, let's understand what Anarchy really means. (Define)

Chaos

So we must question from here, is freedom natural to nature? What comes with freedom, naturally?

(Chaos) What does seeing chaos and feeling chaos do for us? (Teach, learn; what to do or not do?) If some humans push this self-presumed power over another, how does that affect freedom?

With less freedom, what comes of it naturally? (Less Imagination, Less Human Expression)

Perhaps it is because we are giving away our own natural responsibilities (defend property) to others?

What does it mean if we have true freedom? (Includes Chaos; Infinite Possibility)

How do we embrace this true freedom, what might get in people's way of embracing it? (Fear)

Perhaps then, the fear of true freedom is the fear of infinite possibility? Hence the fear or death of imagination and creative human expression? What happens if we lack imagination (to create)?

Imagination

What is imagination? What can creative human expression help us do? (Passion, Connection, Purpose)

How can we relate this ability to imagine through possibility, to the principle of Mentalism & the plane of causality? (We can picture and image a better world, we can imagine a better tomorrow)

If we fear true freedom, are we contributing to the system of government? Hence, violence & slavery?

So in recap, what does it mean when someone believes that this artificial authority is necessary?
Have they been duped into believing in Slavery as necessary in order to prevent chaos?
What makes chaos different from violence and slavery? (Relate to negative expression)
Does this explain democide (death by government)? Then we CAN end democide, right?

Following Orders

So let's understand how chaos as such would take place despite the human conscience.
What would eliminate the ability to make our own decisions, with our own free will conscience?
(Following Orders) Why is this? Are we judging for ourselves if the action is right or wrong when we are simply "following orders"? So we cannot exercise conscience if we are following orders since conscience by definition means to decide right from wrong action ourselves? (show pictures)
Is "I was just following orders" valid excuse to do something wrong?
What does it mean to make a justification? How does justification relate to Man's law?
Does excuse and belief matter in the face of truth? Shouldn't we take responsibility for carrying out the action given to us, as we did ultimately choose to carry it through? (*Or- The Following*)
(Observe quote from Gandhi)
So this is tied to something called moral culpability, it is understanding what to blame, what deserves to be blamed, what is ultimately responsible for the problem. If we ask who is more morally culpable, would it be the order-giver or the order-follower? (show difference of people pictures)
Who's actually performing the action? Are they not the one who puts it in effect?
I can tell you do something, but it won't matter until it's actually done. So (Define Who Is)
Who ultimately makes your decisions? (Yourself) So one can only claim to hand-over their own responsibility, but it is always their own responsibility? So we are always responsible of our own actions, the internal monarchy of positive natural law expression?
What is the point of having principles if you allow others to dictate your behavior? (Quote+)

Self-Respect

When we give away our own personal responsibility, we are doing something called "Self-Loathing", ever hear of this? (Define) It's a sort of reflective process, where one who does not love themselves, will not exercise conscience, and ultimately end up potentially hurting someone else. (Show Image)
This is some psychology that we need to define. (Define)
Perhaps we need to learn to love ourselves, and take another look at ourselves presently, to heal the wounds of the past. Perhaps all of society needs to do this, in a world moving so fast? What does self-respect mean? Will it help us go on the path to conscience because we know more about ourselves and our own actions, to learn to take responsibility?

The Lost Word

Perhaps it's just a matter of saying either yes or no to what we choose to influence us in life?

Does it help to have a balance between the left & right brain to also make this decision?

How do we know ourselves better, having all this at mind? (expressions of consciousness)

How do we know the workings of natural law better, having all that we have learned at mind?

(Consequences to our actions) So we know ourselves and we know the law?

Thus by knowing the law, the left brain aspect of determinism, plus our free will, right brain aspect of randomness; we know ourselves, we know the law, we know the difference between right and wrong, the light and dark of the pyramid so that way we may level the playing field?

When we know there are folks who self-presume power over us, and we know they have no right to by natural law, will we say no? No may be considered the "lost word" for this reason. Do our rights belong to ourselves? Will we then reclaim all of our own rights by saying no to those who claim or seek to control these rights? What is required for us to use this powerful word "no" to these self-presumed rulers? (Knowledge, care, courage) Perhaps we can say that those who don't know (mind), won't say no?

Teaching Natural Law

Perhaps then based on these requirements for knowing to say "no" to what does not belong, we can learn to teach others Natural Law & their Natural Rights? Isn't that what we are doing right now?

Perhaps we can learn to teach and teach to learn? Let's call this "the one great work", since it does require work to teach, correct? What is generally required for teaching? What makes teaching effective?

Quantum Shift

What is the result of doing great work? (Great change)

Is change automatic based on what we know?

Is it a matter of human willpower to learn and teach?

Do we now see how this teaching is required for humanity to become free? Knowledge as power?

What if we were to call this a "quantum shift", is this accurate and what does it mean? (Numbers)

Does it help to have many teachers of this knowledge for it to become widespread & widely known?

However, does it start at the individual level? Changing ourselves to change the world?

To show by example? Well going back to problem solving, each individual must go through this?

How does this relate to the principle of correspondence? What does a movement do in relation to this?

What is Nature Is The Answer, the movement, trying to do? (Teach natural law, share the great work)

Should one only take action after they learn the knowledge?

Is it best for the teacher to have experienced the teachings themselves before sharing with others?

True Great Work

Perhaps then is when the one great work begins? External work from internal work?

So based on what natural law has taught us about our world and the people within, what do you think this great work is ultimately to do? What is the end goal of understanding?

(Government Is Slavery, Immoral, Creates Chaos, Man's Law Is Problematic etc.)

Does this process might include admitting we were wrong?

Abandoning what constricts our mind (religions- beliefs which hold back consciousness)? (Quotes)

Looking at the psychology of what we are battling, what is it? (Ignorance, Mind Control, Fear, Ego)

(Inner demons) Going back to negative natural law expression, what is the negative side of knowledge?

(ignorance) But what if it isn't ignorance? *(Or) Is all knowledge good? What will not be helpful*

positive knowledge? (illusion of knowledge, wrong information)

How does mind control happen? (left brain or right brain imbalance) Can it be emotional mind control?

(Or) If the knowledge is unpleasant to the receiver, will they want to hear, see or speak of it? So then

perhaps their emotions may be overruling the hard-facing reality to the matter? Is this where we get

the expressions that "it's hard to face reality"? (Show math example)

So perhaps the truth is hostile but can create a great awakening when embraced and understood?

Think of the seasons in nature, what if we don't embrace and face what's to come?

Can we be in denial of the inevitable and sure truth?

Does it matter who says the truth if the truth is the truth?

If fear is the main natural law negative expression and we are battling this force for freedom, where does the fear of freedom come from? Why is this manifested?

Well let's think about the other topics we've talked about, perhaps it has to do with self-loathing (lack of self-respect)? How about the lack of responsibility or self-ownership? (Diagram Tree)

Let's look at the opposite of those downsides, for what is best for the one great work.

In concluding, if we don't want negative knowledge, we need knowledge of what? (Real Enemy)

Service to what? (Truth) With What? (Courage, Persistence, Real-World Skills)

So is the real enemy the "elites" who self-presume to rule or is it us, the world population? (Again, who is more morally culpable) Can truth ever be destroyed or the blueprint of life ever changed? (belief)

We must work with truth (nature) to defend such by education? Is this also where care comes in?

(Quotes) What if nobody wants to hear the truth? *(Or) So even if nobody wants to hear the truth*

(denial, ignorance, fears etc.), do we continuously promote such by all means because it IS the truth?

(Quotes) Let's observe some required skills for this great work (show list). Let's name examples of each type. (Quotes)

At The Crossroads

So when the world goes crazy or in a state of chaos, what does this show us? (Define Apocalypse)

In order to face our own reality, what do we have to give toward truth? (Sacrifice of spiritual currency)

How about in the name of past deception that you used to promote? *(Or) Now that you've awoken to truths that you were previously ignorant of, and you used to push ideas for which the fuller knowledge of natural law you were ignorant of, what are you going to give to the world now that you do know this knowledge in order to reconcile with truth?* (Define Apology)

So instead of trying to be god, what are we doing? (Define Logos)

So perhaps the whole of humanity must make an apology by giving the ownership of law back to god?

Then we can enhance this by aligning our actions and behaviors with such Natural Law?

So we are to say no to evil and yes to the knowledge of nature, god, truth; evidently and determined?

(Or) We CAN know the knowledge of nature, thus all of humanity can make the ultimate apology by saying no to what does not belong righteously by law?

So nature has the ultimate say (logos) and our actions ultimately determine our worldly condition?

Let us harmonize the two, as done here with our universal understandings with one another.

In recap, what will alignment of action to the understanding of natural law bring to manifested world?

(Freedom, peace, prosperity, creativity, possibility, survival, progress, morality, consciousness)

And what will happen if we don't align action to the understanding of natural law?

(Control, enslavement, war, stagnation in progress, extinction through democide, imbalance)

(Quotes) Lex Rex = Law Is King

Thank you for learning this knowledge, what was the value you got out of learning this for yourself?

How do you plan to use this knowledge moving forward?

What mistakes have you made in the past that you may now look back upon but in moving forward?

It is one to know of the solution, the law, and another to know of how to use the law to come to that better world more in alignment with the solution. There's where NITA (UNITÆ) comes in.